arXiv:1507.01744v1 [math.AG] 7 Jul 2015

DE RHAM COMPLEX OF A GERSTENHABER ALGEBRA

Vadim Schechtman

À Serezha et Micha, en témoignage d'amitié

Introduction

The aim of this note is to present some algebraic constructions related to Gerstenhaber algebras.

Let X be a smooth manifold[1], $\mathcal{T}_X$ the sheaf of vector fields over X. The graded algebra of polyvector fields $\Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X$ carries a structure of a sheaf of *Gerstenhaber* (aka *odd Poisson)* algebras. This means by definition that $\Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X$ carries a Lie bracket of degree -1 (the Schouten - Nijenhuis bracket) such that the operators ad_x are derivations of the exterior product (with appropriate signs), the precise definitions are recalled below, see 1.1.

Let us call a *Batalin - Vilkovisky (BV)* structure on $\mathcal{T}_X$ an operator $\Delta : \Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow \Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X[-1]$ such that

$$\Delta(xy) - \Delta(x)y - (-1)^{\tilde{x}}x\Delta(y) = (-1)^{\tilde{x}}[x, y] \qquad (BV1)$$

(where we write $\tilde{x} = i$ for x being a local section of $\Lambda^i\mathcal{T}_X$), and

$$\Delta \circ \Delta = 0. \qquad (BV2)$$

According to Koszul [K] (cf. also [S1]), there is a canonical bijection between the set $BV(\mathcal{T}_X)$ of BV structures on $\mathcal{T}_X$ and the set of integrable connections on the canonical bundle ω_X. It follows that BV structures exist locally, and form a sheaf $\mathcal{BV}_X$ which is a $\Omega_X^{1,\mathrm{cl}}$-torsor[2]; its class is equal to $c_1(\mathcal{T}_X) \in H^1(X; \Omega_X^{1,\mathrm{cl}})$, cf. [GMS], §11.

In the present note we generalize the above considerations, replacing the Schouten - Nijenhuis algebra $\Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X$ by an arbitrary Gerstenhaber algebra.

Let $G^\bullet$ be a Gerstenhaber algebra. We associate to $G^\bullet$ certain complex $\Omega^\bullet(G^\bullet)$ which we call the de Rham complex of $G^\bullet$. For example $\Omega^\bullet(\Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X)$ is the usual de Rham complex of X. In fact, $\Omega^\bullet(G^\bullet)$ appears as a subcomplex of a bigger complex $\Omega^\bullet(G^\bullet)^\sim$ which might be not without interest in itself.

---

[1] we can work in C^∞, analytic, or algebraic category

[2] here "cl" means "closed"

Let us call a **quasi-BV structure** on $G^\bullet$ an operator $\Delta:\ G^\bullet \longrightarrow G^\bullet[-1]$ which satisfies $(BV1)$ and

$$\Delta^2(xy) = \Delta^2(x)y + x\Delta^2(y) \tag{$qBV2$}$$

where $\Delta^2 := \Delta\circ\Delta$. It is clear that a BV structure is a quasi-BV structure, and if $G^\bullet$ is concentrated in nonnegative degrees and generated as an algebra by G^0 and G^1, as for example $\Lambda^\bullet\mathcal{T}_X$, then $(qBV2)$ is equivalent to $(BV2)$. We show that quasi-BV structures on $G^\bullet$ form a $\Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(G^\bullet)$-torsor; it is a generalization of the described above classification of BV structures.

The idea of the construction is inspired by [S2] (cf. also [S3]). Since $G^\bullet$ is an associative algebra, we can form its Hochschild complex; on the other hand, since $G^\bullet[1]$ is a Lie algebra, we can form its Chevalley complex; a combination of these two complexes is a bicomplex, and $\Omega^\bullet(G^\bullet)^\sim$ appears as the kernel of the Hochschild differential.

Remark. Formula (BV1) has a curious even counterpart introduced by probabilists, cf. [BE]:

$$L(fg) - L(f)g - fL(g) = \Gamma(f,g)$$

where L is a differential operator of the second order, for example the Laplacian in a Riemannian manifold; the right hand side is called the *carré du champ* operator and is much used in the theory of Markov diffusion processes, cf. [BGL]. Note that the BV operator Δ is a differential operator of the second order with respect to the multiplication (since the Lie - Gerstenhaber bracket is a differential operator of the first order).

The main part of this note has been worked out back in 2005, after a question asked by Dmitry Tamarkin in Copenhagen; I am very grateful to him, and also to V.Ginzburg, V.Hinich, R.Nest, and B.Tsygan for useful discussions. I am especially grateful to the referee for the attentive reading of the text and several corrections.

§1. Gerstenhaber and Batalin - Vilkovisky algebras

In this Section we recall some basic definitions and known results.

We fix a base field k of characteristic 0; all our (Lie) algebras, modules, tensor products, etc. will be over k.

1.1. Recall that a *Gerstenhaber algebra* is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded commutative algebra $G^\bullet$ equipped with a bracket

$$[,]: G^i \otimes G^j \longrightarrow G^{i+j-1}$$

which makes the shifted graded module $G^\bullet[1]$ a graded Lie algebra, that is, it satisfies

$$[x,y] = -(-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{y}-1)}[y,x] \tag{$G1$}$$

and

$$[x,[y,z]] = [[x,y],z] + (-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{y}-1)}[y,[x,z]] \tag{$G2$}$$

Here we set $\tilde{x} = i$ for $x \in G^i$. We denote $G^\bullet[1]$ with this Lie bracket by G^{Lie}. The multiplication and the bracket should be compatible in the following sense:

$$[x,yz] = [x,y]z + (-1)^{\tilde{y}(\tilde{x}-1)}y[x,z] \tag{$G3$}$$

This axiom means that the multiplication $G^\bullet \otimes G^\bullet \longrightarrow G^\bullet$ is a map of G^{Lie}-modules, where we consider $G^\bullet$ as a G^{Lie}-module by means of the adjoint representation, cf. [BD], 1.4.18.

1.2. Example: the Schouten - Nijenhuis algebra. Let $G^\bullet$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded Gerstenhaber algebra, i.e. $G^i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Then $A := G^0$ is a commutative algebra, $T := G^1$ is a Lie algebra and a left A-module, and T acts, as a Lie algebra, on A by $\tau(a) := [\tau, a],\ a \in A, \tau \in T$; the operators $a \mapsto \tau(a)$ are derivations of the ring A. Moreover, the compatibilites

$$(a\tau)(b) = a(\tau(b)) \tag{$LA1$}$$

$$[\tau, a\nu] = a[\tau,\nu] + \tau(a)\nu, \tag{$LA2$}$$

$a, b \in A, \tau, \nu \in T$ hold true. All this means that T is a *Lie algebroid* over A. Thus, we've got a functor

$$(Gerstenhaber\ algebras) \longrightarrow (Lie\ algebroids).$$

This functor admits a left adjoint. Namely, if (A, T) is a Lie algebroid, there exists a unique Lie bracket, called the *Schouten - Nijenhuis bracket*, cf. [K], on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^\bullet_A(T)$ which coincides with the given bracket on T and makes $\Lambda^\bullet_A(T)$ a Gerstenhaber algebra.

This is an odd analogue of the classical Poisson structure on the symmetric algebra $Sym^\bullet_A T$ (whose quantization is the algebra of differential operators).

Let Δ be a BV structure on $\Lambda^\bullet_A(T)$; consider its degree 1 component:

$$c : T \longrightarrow A. \tag{1.2.1}$$

This operator (sometimes called the *divergence*) satisfies the following properties:

$$c(a\tau) = ac(\tau) + \tau(a) \tag{$Div1$}$$

$$c([\tau,\nu]) = \tau(c(\nu)) - \nu(c(\tau)), \tag{$Div2$}$$

$a \in A, \tau, \nu \in T$, which are particular cases of $(BV1)$ and $(BV2)$ respectively. Conversely, given an operator (1.2.1) satisfying $(Div1)$ and $(Div2)$, there exists a unique BV structure on $\Lambda^\bullet_A(T)$ with $\Delta^1 = c$, cf. [K], [S1], and [GMS], §11.

(In the last paper BV structures on Lie algebroids are called "Calabi - Yau structures".)

By that reason we will call an operator (1.2.1) satisfying (Div1) and (Div2) a BV structure on the Lie algebroid T.

Set $\Omega^1(T) = Hom_A(T, A)$, and

$$\Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(T) := \{y \in \Omega^1(T) \mid y([\tau, \nu]) - \tau(y(\nu)) - \nu(y(\tau)) = 0\}. \qquad (1.2.2)$$

The set $BV(T)$ of BV structures on T is canonically an $\Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(T)$-torsor, *the first Chern class of* T.

§2. Hochschild - Chevalley complex of a Lie algebroid

We recall here the construction from [S2], Caput 1. In particular we interpret the axioms of a BV structure on $\Lambda^\bullet_A T$ as a condition that certain cocycle in a certain "Hochschild - Chevalley" bicomplex is a coboundary.

2.1. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra and M a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, the Chevalley complex $C^\cdot_{CH}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ is defined by $C^i_{CH}(\mathfrak{g}, M) = Hom(\Lambda^i\mathfrak{g}, M)$, with the differential

$$d_{CH}f(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1}\tau_i f(\tau_1, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_i, \ldots) +$$

$$+ \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} f([\tau_i, \tau_j], \tau_1, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_i, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_j, \ldots) \qquad (2.1.1)$$

If A is a commutative algebra, and M, N two A-modules, let us define the bar (Hochschild) complex $C^\cdot_H(M, N)$ by $C^i_H(M, N) = Hom(A^{\otimes i} \otimes M, N)$ with a diffierential

$$d_H f(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}, x) = a_1 f(a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}, x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f(a_1, \ldots, a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots) +$$

$$+(-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \ldots, a_n; a_{n+1}x) \qquad (2.1.2)$$

So for example $H^0_H(M, N) := H^0 C^\cdot_H(M, N) = Hom_A(M, N)$.

2.2. Let T be a Lie algebroid over A. Let us define a bicomplex $C^{\cdot\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A)$, called the *Hochschild - Chevalley bicomplex* of T as follows. By definition, the 0-th column will be the Hochschild complex

$$C^{0\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A) = C^\cdot_H(T, A) : \ Hom(T, A) \longrightarrow Hom(A \otimes T, A) \longrightarrow \ldots,$$

and the 0-th line will be a truncated and shifted Chevalley complex

$$C^{\cdot 0}_{HCH}(T, A) = C^{\cdot+1}_{CH}(T, A) : \ Hom(T, A) \longrightarrow Hom(\Lambda^2 T, A) \longrightarrow \ldots,$$

with the differential multiplied by -1.

For $i \geq 0,\ j \geq 1$ we set

$$C^{ij}_{HCH}(T, A) := Hom(A^{\otimes j} \otimes T \otimes \Lambda^i T, A)$$

The horizontal differential is the Chevalley one, after the identification

$$Hom(A^{\otimes j} \otimes T \otimes \Lambda^i T, A) = Hom(\Lambda^i T, Hom(A^{\otimes j} \otimes T, A)),$$

explicitly:

$$d_{DR} f(a_1, \ldots, a_j; \tau; \tau_1, \ldots) = \sum_p (-1)^{p+1} \{\tau_p f(a_1, \ldots; \tau; \ldots, \hat{\tau}_p, \ldots) -$$

$$- \sum_r f(a_1, \ldots, \tau_p(a_r), \ldots; \tau; \ldots, \hat{\tau}_p, \ldots) - f(a_1, \ldots; [\tau_p, \tau]; \ldots, \hat{\tau}_p, \ldots)\} +$$

$$+ \sum_{p<q} (-1)^{p+q} f(a_1, \ldots; \tau; \ldots, \hat{\tau}_p, \ldots, \hat{\tau}_q, \ldots)$$

The vertical differentials

$$d_H :\ C^{i-1,0} = Hom(\Lambda^i T, A) \longrightarrow Hom(A \otimes T \otimes \Lambda^{i-1} T, A) = C^{i-1,1}$$

are given by

$$d_H f(a; \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_i) = a f(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_i) - f(a\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_i)$$

where we identify $Hom(\Lambda^i T, A)$ with the space of skew-symmetric maps $T^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow A$.

Note that

$$\operatorname{Ker} d_H = \Omega^i(T) := Hom_A(\Lambda^i_A T, A)$$

(a skew-symmetric map A-linear with respect to the first argument is A-linear with respect to all the other ones).

One checks by hand that the squares

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^{i1} \arrow[r, "d_{CH}"] & C^{i+1,1} \\ C^{i0} \arrow[u, "d_H"] \arrow[r, "d_{CH}"] & C^{i+1,0} \arrow[u, "d_H"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative.

The vertical differential $C^{i-1,j} \longrightarrow C^{i-1,j+1}$ for $j \geq 1$ is the Hochschild's one, with respect to the first argument:

$$d_H f(a_1, \ldots, a_j; \tau_1; \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_i) = a_1 f(a_2, \ldots, a_j; \tau_1; \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_i) +$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} (-1)^p f(a_1, \ldots, a_p a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_j; \tau_1; \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_i) +$$

$$+ (-1)^j f(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}; a_j \tau_1; \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_i)$$

The commutation $d_H d_{CH} = d_{CH} d_H$ for upper squares follows from

2.3. *Lemma.* The Hochschild differentials

$$d_H:\ Hom(A^{\otimes n}\otimes T, A)\longrightarrow Hom(A^{\otimes(n+1)}\otimes T, A)$$

are morhisms of T^{Lie}-modules. $\square$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} & {} & {} & \\
Hom(A^{\otimes 2}\otimes T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(A^{\otimes 2}\otimes T\otimes T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(A^{\otimes 2}\otimes T\otimes \Lambda^2 T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & {} \\
Hom(A\otimes T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(A\otimes T\otimes T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(A\otimes T\otimes \Lambda^2 T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & {} \\
Hom(T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(\Lambda^2 T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & Hom(\Lambda^3 T, A) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.4. So one has defined a double complex $C^{\cdot\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A)$ (drawn above), whence the associated simple complex $C^{\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A)$, with the differential defined by the usual formula

$$d_{HCH}(x^{ij}) = d_{CH}(x^{ij}) + (-1)^i d_H(x^{ij})$$

As we have remarked above,

$$H^0_H(C^{i\cdot}_{CHC}(T, A)) = \Omega^{i+1}(T)$$

whence a canonical emebedding of the (truncated and) shifted de Rham complex

$$\Omega^{\cdot+1}(T)\hookrightarrow C^{\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A).$$

In particular

$$H^0(C^{\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A)) = \Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(T) := \mathrm{Ker}(d_{DR}:\ \Omega^1(T)\longrightarrow \Omega^2(T))$$

cf. (1.2.2).

2.5. Consider a canonical element

$$e\in C^{01}_{HCH}(T, A) = Hom(A\otimes T, A),\ e(a,\tau) = \tau(a).$$

One has

$$d_H e(a, b; \tau) = a\tau(b) - \tau(ab) + b\tau(a) = 0.$$

Similarly

$$d_{CH} e(\tau, a, \tau') = Lie_{\tau'} e(a,\tau) = \tau'\tau(a) - \tau\tau'(a) - [\tau', \tau](a) = 0.$$

It follows that the element

$$\epsilon = (-e, 0)\in C^{01}_{HCH}(T, A)\oplus C^{10}_{HCH}(T, A) = C^{1}_{HCH}(T, A)$$

is a 1-cocycle in the total complex $C^{\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A)$.

When ϵ is a coboundary? Equation

$$d_{HCH}c = \epsilon,\ c\in C^0_{HCH}(T, A) = Hom(T, A)$$

is equivalent to two equations:

$$d_H c = -e, \tag{2.5.1}$$

i.e.

$$ac(\tau) - c(a\tau) = -\tau(a)$$

which is the first axiom 1.2 (Div1), and

$$d_{CH}c = 0, \tag{2.5.2}$$

i.e.

$$\tau c(\tau') - \tau' c(\tau) + c([\tau, \tau']) = 0$$

which is 1.2 (Div2). It follows that a BV structure on T is the same as an element $c \in C^0_{HCH}(T, A)$ such that $d_{HCH}c = \epsilon$.

Consequently, the set

$$CY(T) = \{c \in C^0_{HCH}(T, A) | d_{HCH}c = \epsilon\}$$

is naturally a torsor under

$$H^0 C^{\cdot}_{HCH}(T, A) = \Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(T),$$

as we have seen already previously.

§3. Hochschild complex of a Gerstenhaber algebra

3.1. Let $A^{\cdot} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} A^i$ be a graded k-module. For $a \in A^i$ we set

$$\tilde{a} = |a| = i$$

If $B^{\cdot}$ is another graded module, we set

$$(a \otimes b)^{\sim} = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b}$$

for $a \otimes b \in A^{\cdot} \otimes B^{\cdot}$. If $f : A^{\cdot} \longrightarrow B^{\cdot}$ is a map, we say that $\tilde{f} = i$ if

$$f(a)^{\sim} = \tilde{a} + i$$

Thus for $f \in Hom(A^{\cdot \otimes n}, A^{\cdot})$, we say that $\tilde{f} = i$ if

$$f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)^{\sim} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_j + i$$

We denote

$$Hom^i(A^{\cdot}, B^{\cdot}) = \{f \in Hom(A^{\cdot}, B^{\cdot}) | \tilde{f} = i\}$$

3.2. Let $A^{\cdot}$ be an associative graded k-algebra, $M^{\cdot}$ a graded $A^{\cdot}$-bimodule. One defines the Hochschild complex $C^{\cdot}_H(A^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot})$ by

$$C^n_H(A^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot}) = Hom(A^{\cdot \otimes n}, M^{\cdot})$$

For $f \in C^n_H(A^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot})$ set

$$|f| = \tilde{f} + n$$

(sic!). The differential is defined by the formula (cf. [TT], 2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} d_H f(a_1,\ldots,a_{n+1}) &= (-1)^{|a_1||f|+|f|+1}a_1 f(a_2,\ldots,a_{n+1})+ \\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|f|+1+\sum_{p=1}^{i}(|a_p|+1)}f(a_1,\ldots,a_ia_{i+1},\ldots,a_{n+1})+ \\ &+(-1)^{|f|+\sum_{p=1}^{n}(|a_p|+1)}f(a_1,\ldots,a_n)a_{n+1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

One checks that $d_H^2=0$.

We will need the case $M^\cdot = A^\cdot$.

3.3. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H f(a,b) &= (-1)^{|a||f|+|f|+1}af(b)+ \\ &+(-1)^{|f|+|a|}f(ab)+(-1)^{|f|+|a|+1}f(a)b \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.1}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d_H f(a,b,c) &= (-1)^{|a||f|+|f|+1}af(b,c)+(-1)^{|f|+|a|}f(ab,c)+ \\ &+(-1)^{|f|+|a|+|b|+1}f(a,bc)+(-1)^{|f|+|a|+|b|}f(a,b)c. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

In particular, the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ker}(d_H^1:\ C_H^1(A^\cdot,M^\cdot)\longrightarrow C_H^2(A^\cdot,M^\cdot)= \\ &=\{f:\ A^\cdot\longrightarrow M^\cdot \mid\ -(-1)^{\tilde{f}\tilde{a}}af(b)+f(ab)-f(a)b=0\}= \\ &=\mathrm{Der}(A^\cdot,M^\cdot) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.3}$$

is identified with the module of derivations of $A^\cdot$ with values in $M^\cdot$.

3.4. Let $G^\cdot$ be a Gerstenhaber algebra, and consider its Hochschild $C_H^\cdot(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)$ complex. Let us consider the Lie bracket $[,]:\ G^\cdot\otimes G^\cdot\longrightarrow G^\cdot$ as a Hochschild cochain $[,]\in C_H^2(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)$. We have deg $[,]=-1$, therefore

$$|[,]|=1.$$

3.5. Lemma. $d_H[,]=0$.

Proof. We will use the Poisson identity

$$[x,yz]=[x,y]z+(-1)^{|y|(|x|+1)}y[x,z] \tag{3.5.1}$$

and its companion

$$[xy,z]=x[y,z]+(-1)^{|y|(|z|+1)}[x,z]y \tag{3.5.2}$$

which is a consequence of (3.5.1) and of the commutativity.

Using (3.3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} d_H[,](x,y,z) &= (-1)^{|x|}x[y,z]+(-1)^{|x|+1}[xy,z]+ \\ &+(-1)^{|x|+|y|}[x,yz]+(-1)^{|x|+|y|+1}[x,y]z= \end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{|x|}x[y,z] + (-1)^{|x|+1}\{x[y,z] + (-1)^{|y|(|z|+1)}[x,z]y\}+$$

$$+(-1)^{|x|+|y|}\{[x,y]z + (-1)^{|y|(|x|+1)}y[x,z]\} + (-1)^{|x|+|y|+1}[x,y]z = 0,$$

QED.

3.6. Remark. Maybe the identity $d_H[,] = 0$, i.e.

$$x[y,z] - [xy,z] + (-1)^{|y|}[x,yz] - (-1)^{|y|}[x,y]z = 0$$

can serve as a replacement of the Poisson identity for non-commutative Poisson algebras.

3.7. For

$$\Delta \in Hom^{-1}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}) \subset C^1(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$$

we will have $|\Delta| = 0$, and

$$d_H\Delta(x,y) = -x\Delta(y) + (-1)^{|x|}\Delta(xy) + (-1)^{|x|+1}\Delta(x)y,$$

cf. (3.3.1). Therefore the equation

$$d_H\Delta = [,] \tag{3.7.1}$$

is equivalent to

$$\Delta(xy) - \Delta(x)y - (-1)^{|x|}x\Delta(y) = (-1)^{|x|}[x,y],$$

that is, to the axiom (BV1), cf. 1.3 (Koszul would say that Δ *generates* the bracket $[,]$, cf. [K], p. 262).

3.8. Set $A = G^0$, $T = G^1$. One has a canonical morphism of Gerstenhaber algebras

$$\Lambda^{\cdot}_A(T) \hookrightarrow G^{\cdot}. \tag{3.8.1}$$

Evidently, $Hom(T,A) \subset Hom^{-1}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$. One has a canonical projection

$$\pi: \ Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}) \longrightarrow Hom(T,A)$$

which may be extended to a canonical projection of the Hochschild complexes

$$\pi: \ C^{\cdot -1}_H(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}) \longrightarrow C^{\cdot}_H(T,A).$$

Note that the last term of the Hochschild differential (3.2.1) disappears in $C^{\cdot}_H(T,A)$.

One has

$$\pi([,]) = -e,$$

since $[a,\tau] = -\tau(a)$, and an operator Δ satisfying (3.7.1) will be transformed into an operator $c \in Hom(T,A)$ satisfying $(Div1)$, $d_Hc = -e$.

§4. The de Rham complex of a Gerstenhaber algebra

4.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}$ be a graded Lie algebra and $M^{\cdot}$ a graded $\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}$-module. The Chevalley complex $C^{\cdot}_{CH}(\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot})$ is defined by $C^n(\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot}) = Hom(\Lambda^n\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot})$, with a differential

$$\begin{gathered} d_{CH}f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1+\tilde{x}_i(\tilde{f}+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}\tilde{x}_p)} x_i f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots) + \\ + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j+\tilde{x}_i\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}\tilde{x}_p+\tilde{x}_j\sum_{1\leq q\leq j-1, q\neq i}\tilde{x}_q} \times \\ \times f([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots) \end{gathered}$$

If $N^{\cdot}$ is another graded $\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}$-module, $\mathfrak{g}^{\cdot}$ acts on $Hom(M^{\cdot}, N^{\cdot})$ by the usual formula

$$(\tau\phi)(x) = \tau(\phi(x)) - (-1)^{\deg\ \phi\tilde{x}}.\phi(\tau x)$$

4.2. Let $G^{\cdot}$ be a Gerstenhaber algebra. We can apply the previous definition to the graded Lie algebra $G^{Lie\cdot} := G^{\cdot}[1]$ which acts on itself by the adjoint representation, and get the complex

$$C^{\cdot}_{CH}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot}) := C^{\cdot}_{CH}(G^{Lie\cdot}, G^{Lie\cdot}).$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{gathered} C^n_{CH}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot}) = Hom(\Lambda^n(G^{\cdot}[1]), G^{\cdot}[1]) \subset \\ \subset Hom(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes n}, G^{\cdot}[1]) = Hom(G^{\cdot\otimes n}, G^{\cdot}) \end{gathered}$$

For a homogenenous element $f \in C^n_{CH}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot})$, let us denote by $\tilde{f}$ its degree as an element of $Hom(G^{\cdot\otimes n}, G^{\cdot})$.

For an element $x \in G^i$, its degree as an element of $G^{\cdot}[1]$ is $i-1$.

Therefore, given a map $f : (G^{\cdot})^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow G^{\cdot}$ of degree $\tilde{f}$, its degree as an element of $Hom(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes n}, G^{\cdot}[1])$ is equal to $\tilde{f}+n-1$.

One identifies $C^n_{CH}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot})$ with the space of polylinear functions $f : (G^{\cdot})^n \longrightarrow G^{\cdot}$ which are *alternating* in the following sense:

$$\begin{gathered} f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) = \\ = -(-1)^{(\tilde{x}_i-1)(\tilde{x}_{i+1}-1)} f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \end{gathered} \tag{4.2.1}$$

The differential is acting as follows: for $f \in C^n_{CH}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot})$,

$$\begin{gathered} d_{CH}f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} [x_i, f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots)] \times \\ \times (-1)^{i+1+(\tilde{x}_i-1)(\tilde{f}+n-1+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(\tilde{x}_p-1))} + \\ + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1} f([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots) \times \end{gathered}$$

$$\times(-1)^{i+j+(\tilde{x}_i-1)\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(\tilde{x}_p-1)}\times$$
$$\times(-1)^{(\tilde{x}_j-1)\sum_{1\leq q\leq j-1,\ q\neq i}\ (\tilde{x}_q-1)}. \tag{4.2.2}$$

For example, for $g\in G^{\cdot}$ we have

$$d_{CH}g(x)=(-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{g}-1)}[x,g]=[g,x], \tag{4.2.3}$$

and for $f\in Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$

$$d_{CH}f(x,y)=[x,f(y)](-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)\tilde{f}}-$$
$$-[y,f(x)](-1)^{(\tilde{y}-1)(\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}-1)}-f([x,y])=$$
$$=(-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)\tilde{f}}[x,f(y)]+[f(x),y]-f([x,y]). \tag{4.2.4}$$

Note that $(d_{CH}f)^{\sim}=\tilde{f}-1$.

4.3. Now we will introduce a double "Hochschild - Chevalley" complex $\{C^{ij}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})\}$, with $i\geq 0,\ j=0,1$. So our double complex will have only two lines.

The 0-th line will be a shifted Chevalley complex:

$$C^{\cdot 0}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}):=C^{\cdot+1}_{CH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}).$$

For example, at the corner we will have

$$C^{00}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})=Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}).$$

4.4. The first line of the Hochschild - Chevalley double complex coincides by definition with the Chevalley complex of $G^{Lie\cdot}$ with coefficients in $C^2_H(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$:

$$C^{\cdot 1}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}):=C^{\cdot}_{CH}(G^{Lie},C^2_H(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})),\ n\geq 1.$$

More exactly, one identifies $C^2_H(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$ with

$$Hom(G^{\cdot\otimes 2},G^{\cdot})=Hom((G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2},G^{\cdot}[1]),$$

where the right hand side carries an evident structure of a G^{Lie}-module.

Note that one can identify

$$C^{m1}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})=Hom(\Lambda^m(G^{\cdot}[1])\otimes(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2},G^{\cdot}[1])$$

which in turn is a spaceof functions of $m+2$ arguments

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_m;x_{m+1},x_{m+2}):\ (G^{\cdot})^{m+2}\longrightarrow G^{\cdot}$$

which are alternating, in the sense of (4.2.1), with respect to the first m arguments.

4.5. Explicitly, the Chevalley differential in the first line

$$d_{CH}:\ C^{n-1,1}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})=Hom(\Lambda^{n-1}(G^{\cdot}[1])\otimes(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2},G^{\cdot}[1])\longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow Hom(\Lambda^{n}(G^{\cdot}[1])\otimes(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2},G^{\cdot}[1])=C^{n1}_{HCH}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$$

acts by

$$\begin{gathered}
d_{CH}f(x_1, \ldots, x_n; x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i, f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots)] \cdot (-1)^{i+1+(\tilde{x}_i-1)[\tilde{f}+n+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(\tilde{x}_p-1)]} - \\
-f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots; [x_i, x_{n+1}], x_{n+2}) \cdot (-1)^{i+1+(\tilde{x}_i-1)\sum_{p=i+1}^{n}(\tilde{x}_p-1)} - \\
-f(x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots; x_{n+1}, [x_i, x_{n+2}]) \cdot (-1)^{i+1+(\tilde{x}_i-1)\sum_{p=i+1}^{n+1}(\tilde{x}_p-1)} + \\
+ \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} f([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots; x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \times \\
\times (-1)^{i+j+(\tilde{x}_i-1)\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(\tilde{x}_p-1)} \times \\
\times (-1)^{(\tilde{x}_j-1)\sum_{1\le q\le j-1,\ q\ne i}\ (\tilde{x}_q-1)} \qquad (4.5.1)
\end{gathered}$$

For example, for $n = 1$:

$$\begin{gathered}
d_{CH}f(x; y, z) = [x, f(y, z)](-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{f}+1)} - \\
-f([x, y], z) - f(y, [x, z])(-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{y}-1)}. \qquad (4.5.2)
\end{gathered}$$

(a) *The corner square*

4.6. Recall that

$$d_H = d_H^{00} :\ Hom(G^{\cdot}[1], G^{\cdot}[1]) \longrightarrow Hom((G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2}, G^{\cdot}[1])$$

acts as

$$\begin{gathered}
d_H f(x, y) = (-1)^{\tilde{x}(\tilde{f}+1)+\tilde{f}} x f(y) + \\
+(-1)^{\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}+1} f(xy) + (-1)^{\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}} f(x)y,
\end{gathered}$$

cf. (3.3.1) (we have $|f| = \tilde{f} + 1$).

One defines a vertical "Hochschild" differential

$$\begin{gathered}
d_H = d_H^{10} :\ C_{HCH}^{10}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot}) = Hom(\Lambda^2(G^{\cdot}[1]), G^{\cdot}[1]) \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow Hom((G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 3}, G^{\cdot}[1]) = C_{HCH}^{11}(G^{\cdot}, G^{\cdot})
\end{gathered}$$

by the formula

$$\begin{gathered}
d_H f(x, y, z) = (-1)^{\tilde{y}(\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}+1)+\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}} y f(x, z) + \\
+(-1)^{\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}+1} f(x, yz) + (-1)^{\tilde{f}+\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}} f(x, y)z
\end{gathered}$$

4.7. Lemma. *We have*

$$d_{CH}^{01} d_H^{00} = d_H^{10} d_{CH}^{00}.$$

This is proven by a direct (although long) calculation.

(b) *The vertical differential: general case*

4.8. One defines the vertical "Hochschild" differential

$$d_H^{n0}:\ C_{HCH}^{n0}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}) = Hom(\Lambda^{n+1}(G^{\cdot}[1]),G^{\cdot}[1]) \longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow Hom(\Lambda^{n}(G^{\cdot}[1])\otimes(G^{\cdot}[1])^{\otimes 2},G^{\cdot}[1]) = C_{HCH}^{n1}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$$

by the formula

$$d_H^{n0}f(x_1,\dots,x_n;x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) = (-1)^{\tilde{x}_{n+1}(\tilde{f}+\sum_1^n\ \tilde{x}_p+1)+\tilde{f}+\sum_1^n\ \tilde{x}_p}\times$$
$$\times x_{n+1}f(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+2})+$$
$$+(-1)^{\tilde{f}+\sum_1^{n+1}\ \tilde{x}_p+1}f(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1}x_{n+2})+$$
$$+(-1)^{\tilde{f}+\sum_1^{n+1}\ \tilde{x}_p}f(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1})x_{n+2},$$

Note that

$$(d_{CH}f)^{\sim} = \tilde{f}-1 \text{ et } (d_H f)^{\sim} = \tilde{f}.$$

4.9. Lemma. *For all* $n\geq 0$*, on a* $d_H^{n0}d_{CH}^{n-1,0} = d_{CH}^{n-1,1}d_H^{n-1,0}$.

The proof is also a direct calculation.

(c) *De Rham complex*

4.13. We define spaces

$$\Omega^n(G\cdot)^{\sim} := \mathrm{Ker}(d_H:\ C_{HCH}^{n-1,0}(G^{\cdot}) \longrightarrow C_{HCH}^{n-1,1}(G^{\cdot})),\ n\geq 1;$$

$\Omega^0(G\cdot)^{\sim} = G^{\cdot}$.

Explicitly, the space $\Omega^n(G\cdot)^{\sim}$ is identified with the space of polylinear maps

$$f:\ G^{\cdot n}\longrightarrow G^{\cdot}$$

which are:

(a) alternating, i.e.

$$f(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (-1)^{(\tilde{x}_i-1)(\tilde{x}_{i+1}-1)}f(x_1,\dots,x_{i+1},x_i,\dots,x_n)$$

(b) satisfy the identity

$$f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},yz) =$$
$$= (-1)^{y(\tilde{f}+\sum_1^{n-1}\tilde{x}_p)}yf(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},z)+f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},y)z$$

For example,

$$\Omega^1(G^{\cdot})^{\sim} = \mathrm{Der}(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot}) := \{f\in Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})|\ f(xy) = (-1)^{\tilde{x}\tilde{f}}xf(y)+f(x)y\}$$

Due to 4.9, the Chevalley differential induces a differential $d_{DR}:\ \Omega^n(G^{\cdot})\longrightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(G^{\cdot})$, $n\geq 1$.

Moreover, recall the differential

$$d_{CH} :\ G^\cdot \longrightarrow Hom(G^\cdot, G^\cdot),\ d_{CH}g(x) = [g, x],$$

cf. 4.2.3. We have $(d_{CH}g)^\sim = \tilde{g} - 1$, and one checks at once that $d_{CH}g \in \mathrm{Der}(G^\cdot, G^\cdot)$, therefore d_{CH} induces a map

$$d_{DR} :\ G^\cdot = \Omega^0(G^\cdot)^\sim \longrightarrow \Omega^1(G^\cdot)^\sim = \mathrm{Der}(G^\cdot, G^\cdot),\ d_{DR}g(x) = [g, x].$$

This defines a complex $\Omega^\bullet(G^\bullet)^\sim$ which we call a **big de Rham complex of $G^\bullet$.**

Inside it, we define a subcomplex $\Omega^\cdot(G^\cdot) \subset \Omega^\cdot(G^\cdot)^\sim$, **the small de Rham complex** of $G^\cdot$, by

$$\Omega^0(G^\cdot) = G^0;\ \Omega^n(G^\cdot) = \{f \in \Omega^n(G^\cdot)^\sim | \tilde{f} = -n\}$$

4.14. Example. Suppose that $G^\cdot = \Lambda^\cdot_A(T)$ is the Schouten - Nijenhuis algebra of an A-Lie algebroid T. We have $\Omega^0(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)) = A$.

A degree -1 derivation $\omega \in \Omega^1(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)) = \mathrm{Der}^{-1}(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T), \Lambda^\cdot_A(T))$ is uniquely defined by its values on T, $\omega(\tau) \in A$. The derivation condition for $a \in A,\ \tau \in T$ will be $\omega(a\tau) = a\omega(\tau)$, i.e.

$$\Omega^1(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)) = Hom_A(T, A) = \Omega^1(T).$$

Similarly, a biderivation $\Omega \in \Omega^2(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T))$ is uniquely defined by its values $\omega(\tau, \tau') \in A,\ \tau, \tau' \in T$, and the axiom (b) means that ω is A-linear with respect to τ', and therefore with respect to τ, since ω is alternating, whence

$$\Omega^2(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)) = Hom_A(\Lambda^2 T, A) = \Omega^2(T).$$

More generally, $\Omega^n(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T))$ may be identified with $\Omega^n(T) := Hom_A(\Lambda^n T, A)$ for all pour tous $n \geq 0$.

On the other hand, for $\omega \in \Omega^1(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T))$ and $\tau, \tau' \in T$ we have (cf. (4.2.4)):

$$d_{DR}\omega(\tau, \tau') = d_{CH}\omega(\tau, \tau') = [\tau, \omega(\tau')] - [\tau', \omega(\tau)] - \omega([\tau, \tau']) =$$
$$= \tau(\omega(\tau')) - \tau'(\omega(\tau) - \omega([\tau, \tau'])$$

More generally, the differential in $\Omega^\cdot(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T))$ is identified with the usual de Rham differential in $\Omega^\cdot(T)$, cf. [GMS], 1.3.

In particular, the subspace of closed 1-forms

$$\Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)) = \Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(T).$$

§5. Batalin - Vilkovisky structures

5.1. Let $G^\cdot$ be a Gerstenhaber algebra. Consider the bracket

$$[,] \in Hom(G^{\cdot\otimes 2}, G^\cdot) = C^{01}_{CHC}(G^\cdot, G^\cdot),\ [,] = -1$$

We have seen in 3.4 that $d_H[,]=0$.

On the other hand (cf. (4.5.2)),

$$d_{CH}[,](x,y,z)=[x,[y,z]]-[[x,y],z]-(-1)^{(\tilde{x}-1)(\tilde{y}-1)}[y,[x,z]]=0,$$

i.e. $d_{CH}[,]=0$ as well.

5.2. Let $\Delta\in Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$ be an element of degree -1 such that

$$d_H\Delta=[,],$$

i.e. (cf. 3.7)

$$[x,y]=(-1)^{\tilde{x}}\{\Delta(xy)-\Delta(x)y-(-1)^{\tilde{x}}x\Delta(y)\} \tag{5.2.1}$$

Let us compute $d_{CH}\Delta$ (cf. (4.2.4)):

$$\begin{aligned} d_{CH}\Delta(x,y)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}-1}[x,\Delta(y)]-(-1)^{(\tilde{y}-1)\tilde{x}}[y,\Delta(x)]-\Delta([x,y])= \\ =-\Big\{\Delta(x\Delta(y))-\Delta(x)\Delta(y)-(-1)^{\tilde{x}}x\Delta^2(y)\Big\}- \\ -(-1)^{(\tilde{y}-1)\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}}\Big\{\Delta(y\Delta(x))-\Delta(y)\Delta(x)-(-1)^{\tilde{y}}y\Delta^2(x)\Big\}- \\ -(-1)^{\tilde{x}}\Big\{\Delta^2(xy)-\Delta(\Delta(x)y)-(-1)^{\tilde{x}}\Delta(x\Delta(y))\Big\}= \\ =(-1)^{\tilde{x}+1}\{\Delta^2(xy)-\Delta^2(x)y-x\Delta^2(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(-1)^{(\tilde{y}-1)\tilde{x}}[y,\Delta(x)]=[\Delta(x),y]$$

Thus we have:

5.3. Lemma. *If* $\Delta\in Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$, $\Delta^{\sim}=-1$ *vérifie* $d_H\Delta=0$ *then*

$$d_{CH}\Delta(x,y)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}+1}\{\Delta^2(xy)-\Delta^2(x)y-x\Delta^2(y)\}.$$

☐

5.4. Let us call a **quasi BV structure** on $G^{\cdot}$ an element $\Delta\in Hom(G^{\cdot},G^{\cdot})$ of degree -1 such that $d_H\Delta=[,]$ and $d_{CH}\Delta=0$, i.e. such that

$$\Delta(xy)-\Delta(x)y-(-1)^{\tilde{x}}x\Delta(y)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}}[x,y] \tag{$BV1$}$$

and

$$\Delta([x,y])=[\Delta(x),y]+(-1)^{\tilde{x}-1}[x,\Delta(y)], \tag{$qBV2$}'$$

i.e. Δ is a derivation of the graded Lie algebra $G^{\cdot Lie}=G^{\cdot}[1]$.

By 5.3, $(qBV2)'$ is equivalent to

$$\Delta^2(xy)=\Delta^2(x)y+x\Delta^2(y) \tag{$qBV2$}$$

i.e. Δ^2 is a est derivation of the assocative algebra $G^{\cdot}$.

We see that $(qBV2)$ is weaker than the BV axiom, $\Delta^2=0$, cf. [K], §1.

5.5. By §4, (a), the set $qBV(G^\cdot)$ of qBV structures on $G^\cdot$ is a torsor under the space

$$\begin{gathered} H^0(\mathrm{Tot}C^{\cdot\cdot}_{HCH}(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)) = \mathrm{Ker}(d^{00}_H)\cap\mathrm{Ker}(d^{00}_{CH}) = \\ \Omega^{1,\mathrm{cl}}(G^\cdot) := \mathrm{Ker}\{d:\Omega^1(G^\cdot)\longrightarrow\Omega^2(G^\cdot)\}. \end{gathered}$$

For $G^\cdot=\Lambda^\cdot_A(T)$ the $\Omega^{1,\mathrm{fer}}(G^\cdot)$-torsor $qBV(G^\cdot)$ is identified with the $\Omega^{1,\mathrm{fer}}(T)$-torsor $BV(T)$.

5.6. Remarks. (a) Consider the bracket as an element $\omega\in C^{10}_{HCH}(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)=Hom(\Lambda^2(G^\cdot[1]),G^\cdot)[1]$, $\omega(x,y)=[x,y]$.

If $I\in C^{00}_{HCH}(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)=Hom(G^\cdot[1],G^\cdot[1])$ is the identity morphism then $\omega=d_{CH}I$, whence $d_{CH}\omega=0$.

Computing the Hichschild differential,

$$d_H\omega(x,y,z)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}+\tilde{x}+1}y[x,z]+(-1)^{\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}}[x,yz]+(-1)^{\tilde{x}+\tilde{y}+1}[x,y]z=0,$$

i.e. $d_H\omega=0$. Therefore $\omega\in\Omega^2(G^\cdot)^\sim$ ($\omega\notin\Omega^2(G^\cdot)$ since $\tilde{\omega}=-1$), and $d_{DR}\omega=0$.

However,

$$d_HI(x,y)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}}xy,$$

so ω is not a coboundary in $\Omega^2(G^\cdot)^\sim$.

(b) If one defines $m\in C^{01}(G^\cdot,G^\cdot)$ by $m=d_HI$, i.e.

$$m(x,y)=(-1)^{\tilde{x}}xy$$

then $d_Hm=0$ and

$$d_{CH}m=d_{CH}d_HI=d_Hd_{CH}I=d_H\omega=0.$$

5.7. It seems very probable that the contents of [S2], Caput 2 (resp. of [S3]) can be generalized to the context of Gerstenhaber algebras, i.e. one can define a notion of a *vertex structure* (resp. of a *membrane structure*) on a Gerstenhaber algebra. The set of these structures should form a 2-torsor over a truncated de Rham complex

$$\Omega^{[2,3>}(G^\cdot):\ \Omega^2(G^\cdot)\longrightarrow\Omega^{3,\mathrm{cl}}(G^\cdot)$$

(resp. over

$$\Omega^{[3,5>}(G^\cdot):\ \Omega^3(G^\cdot)\longrightarrow\Omega^4(G^\cdot)\longrightarrow\Omega^{5,\mathrm{cl}}(G^\cdot)).$$

It would be interesting to understand the nature of the corresponding enveloping "vertex Gerstenhaber algebras". It is not immediate, due to the last term in Hochschild differential, which is not seen in the framework of vertex algebroids.

References

[BE] D.Bakry, M.Émery, Diffusions hypercontractives, *Séminaire de Probabilités* XIX 1983/84, 1985 - Springer.

[BGE] D.Bakry, I.Gentil, M.Ledoux, Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators, Springer, Grundlehren d. math. Wiss., 2014.

[BD] A.Beilinson, V.Drinfeld, Chiral algebras, AMS Colloquium Publications, **51**, 2004.

[CE] H.Cartan, S.Eilenberg, Homological algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.

[GMS] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov, V. Schechtman, Gerbes of chiral differential operators. II. Vertex algebroids,*Inv. Math.*, **155**, 605 - 680 (2004).

[K] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten - Nijenhuis et cohomologie, pp. 257 - 271 dans: Astérisque, hors série, 1985, tome dédié à E. Cartan, 1985.

[S1] V. Schechtman, Remarks on formal deformations and Batalin - Vilkovisky algebras, math.AG/9802006.

[S2] V. Schechtman, Definitio nova algebroidis verticiani, pp. 443 - 494 in: *Studies in Lie theory: Antony Joseph's Festschrift*, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math., **243**, 2006.

[S3] V. Schechtman, Structures membranaires., pp. 599 - 643 in: *Algebraic geometry and Number theory, Vladimir Drinfeld's Festschrift*, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math., **253**,

[TT] D. Tamarkin, B. Tsygan, The ring of differential operators on forms in noncommutative calculus, pp. 105 - 132 in: *Graphs and Patterns in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Dennis Sullivan Festschrift*, Proc. Symp Pure Math., **73**, AMS, 2005.

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse, France

schechtman@math.ups-tlse.fr